

CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE — CITY HALL THREE POTENTIAL CONCEPT COST SCENARIOS*

PROJECT COST OVERVIEW	Proposal	Concept A	Concept B	Concept C	
1 Design Elements:	--	Full	Full	Reduced	
2 Space Programming:	--	Full	Reduced	Reduced	
3 Employee Head Count:	700	630	630	630	Assumes Development Services Department remains at the Greg Brewton Building.
4 Programming Gross Square Footage:	302,150	235,000	200,000	200,000	
5 Developer Cost per Square Foot:	\$1,140	\$1,170	\$1,200	1,000	
6 Design & Construction Cost:	\$344,295,000	\$275,000,000	\$240,000,000	\$200,000,000	
7 Developer Fee:	\$17,214,750	\$13,750,000	\$12,000,000	\$10,000,000	Assumes a 5% fee based on the Design & Construction Cost.
8 Project Administration During Construction Period:	\$5,698,000	\$5,698,000	\$5,698,000	\$5,698,000	
9 Developer Debt Capitalized Interest/Financing Costs:	\$50,183,250	\$0	\$0	\$0	Proposal included cost for developer debt financing. City intends to finance the project.
10 Design, Construction, & Developer Financing Cost:	\$417,391,000	\$294,448,000	\$257,698,000	\$215,698,000	
11 FFE Cost (\$50.00/sqft):		\$11,750,000	\$10,000,000	\$10,000,000	Based on \$50.00 per square foot.
12 Estimated Total Project Cost:	\$417,391,000	\$306,198,000	\$267,698,000	\$225,698,000	

13

14 ANNUAL FINANCIAL PAYMENT OBLIGATION*	Proposal	Concept A	Concept B	Concept C	
15 Projected Annual Debt Service (90% City Financing):	--	\$17,000,000	\$15,000,000	\$12,500,000	Assumes 4.5% interest rate.
16 Projected Annual Availability Payment (10% Developer Equity):	--	\$3,300,000	\$3,000,000	\$2,400,000	Assumes 11.5% equity return.
17 Annual City Capital Obligation:		\$20,300,000	\$18,000,000	\$14,900,000	City financial plan identified \$12.2M annually (\$200M budget).
18 Annual Operations & Maintenance (O&M):	\$7,642,000	\$6,685,000	\$6,160,000	\$6,000,000	Initial cost estimate - the O&M scope will be defined in the Interim Agreement period.
19 City Annual Obligations:		\$26,985,000	\$24,160,000	\$20,900,000	

20 *The Annual Financial Payment Obligation is provided for illustrative purposes. The final calculation would be based on the actual project cost and the market conditions.

City Hall Payment Scenarios*

Total Annual Payments are provided for illustrative purposes. The final calculation would be based on the actual project cost and the market conditions.

Project Funding Amount** (Funding Source)	Average Annual Debt Service	Annual Availability Payment^	Total Annual Payments**	Programmed Annual Debt Service	Increase Over the Programmed Debt Service
\$200 million (\$200M Debt)	\$12.2M	N/A	\$12.2M	\$12.2M	\$ -
\$225 million (\$205M Debt; \$20M Developer Equity)	\$12.5M	\$2.4M	\$14.9M	\$12.2M	\$2.7M
\$270 million (\$245M Debt; \$25M Developer Equity)	\$15.0M	\$3.0M	\$18.0M	\$12.2M	\$5.8M
\$305 million (\$277.5M Debt; \$27.5M Developer Equity)	\$17.0M	\$3.3M	\$20.3M	\$12.2M	\$8.1M

*Annual debt service is not reflective of anticipated operation and maintenance (O&M) expense

**Project funding amount is inclusive of design and construction, the 5% developer fee, and other developer costs.

^The availability payment represents the repayment of the Developer's equity assuming 10% equity on the design and construction costs and an 11.5% equity return for the project

Developer Equity

This represents the annual availability payment that will be made to the Developer over a 30-year period.

Design and Construction Amount	Developer Equity Amount	Equity Return	Annual Payment	Total Payment	Financial Impact**
\$205M	\$20M	4.50%*	\$1.2M	\$36.8M	Baseline
		10.50%	\$2.2M	\$66.3M	\$29.5M
		11.00%	\$2.3M	\$69.0M	\$32.2M
		11.50%	\$2.4M	\$71.7M	\$34.9M
\$245M	\$25M	4.50%*	\$1.5M	\$46.0M	Baseline
		10.50%	\$2.8M	\$82.9M	\$36.9M
		11.00%	\$2.9M	\$86.3M	\$40.2M
		11.50%	\$3.0M	\$89.7M	\$43.7M
\$277.5M	\$27.5M	4.50%*	\$1.7M	\$50.6M	Baseline
		10.50%	\$3.0M	\$91.2M	\$40.5M
		11.00%	\$3.2M	\$94.9M	\$44.2M
		11.50%	\$3.3M	\$98.6M	\$48.0M

*Baseline represents the cost of the City issuing the debt via a Special Obligation Bond

**The financial impact represents the incremental increase associated with utilizing developer equity instead of funding the debt via a Special Obligation Bond